	Student Feed			in Territory Str.		-	
and the second	Total Students	and the second sec	the second	Good(3)	Average (2)	Poor(1)	Those Salary and a series
Course Organization	1221	39%	38%	16%	4%	4%	100%
Academic/ Course contents	1221	38%	37%	17%	5%	2%	100%
Depth of the courses covered	1216	34%	36%	21%	6%	3%	100%
Learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge,							
analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)							
	1221	36%	38%	17%	5%	4%	100%
Applicability/relevance to real life situations/ Academic							
contents	1221	33%	38%	20%	5%	4%	100%
Relevance/ Learning value of Project/ Report	1221	36%	36%	19%	5%	4%	100%
The Entire Syllabus is covered in time	1221	40%	37%	14%	5%	4%	100%
The Teacher discusses topics and interacts course							
contents in the class	1221	47%	33%	13%	5%	3%	100%
Communication skills of the Teacher	1221	50%	33%	11%	3%	3%	100%
The Teacher is punctual in the Class	1221	49%	31%	12%	4%	3%	100%
The Teacher comes well prepared for the class.	1221	52%	31%	10%	3%	3%	100%
The Teacher encourages participation and discussion in							
class.	1221	50%	32%	10%	4%	3%	100%
Use of modern teaching aids, handouts, suitable							
references, power point presentations, web-resources etc							
	1221	40%	35%	15%	5%	5%	100%
Teacher's attitude towards the students is friendly and							
helpful	1221	49%	33%	12%	4%	3%	100%
The Teacher is available and accessible in the							
department	1221		31%			3%	100%
Evaluation process is fair and unbiased	1221	41%	35%	16%	5%	3%	100%
Regularly and timely feedback is given on the							
performance	1221	42%	34%		4%	4%	100%
Periodical assessments are conducted as per schedule	1221	44%	35%	14%	4%	2%	100%
Student Teacher relationship	1221	48%	33%	11%	4%	4%	100%
Library facilities	1221	39%	34%	16%		6%	100%
Computer facilities	1221	35%	33%	17%	9%	6%	100%
Hostel facilities	1221	30%	30%	22%	10%	9%	100%

Carbiel -

3 approval had et-

ab Facilities	1221	37%	33%	18%	8%	5%	100%	
Research Facilities	1221	28%	32%	23%	9%	8%	100%	
Extra-Curricular Facilities	1221	33%	38%	17%	7%	6%	100%	
ports Facilities	1221	31%	33%	19%	9%	8%	100%	
lealth Care Facilities	1221	34%	32%	19%	8%	8%	100%	
Recreational Facilities	1221	30%	36%	21%	7%	7%	100%	1
Extension Activities	1221	33%	35%	21%	6%	6%	100%	+
Training and Placement opportunities	1221	31%	31%	20%	10%	8%	100%	+
Audio Visual facilities	1221	34%	33%	21%	7%	5%	100%	+
Students' counselling/ Grievance Handling	1221	30%	37%	21%	7%	5%	100%	-
Students' involvement in the decesion making	1221	34%	36%	18%	7%	5%	100%	-
							100/0	
								-
								+
								+
								-
								+
								-
								-
								+
								-
								-
								+
								-
								-
								+
								+
								1
								+
								-

Contraction of the second

0



Feedback from Alumni for design and review of the curriculum of the program 2022-23							
S.No	Excellen	Very Go	Good(3)	Average	Poor(1)	Total	
How do you rate the relevance of the courses/ papers						100%	
in relation to the degree/ programme?	6%	11%	12%	16%	56%	100%	
How do you rate the offering of electives in relation to					4.40/	100%	
the latest trend in the subject?	6%	11%	12%	26%	44%	100%	
How do you rate the courses/ papers that you have				2004	40%	100%	
studied in relation to your current job?	8%	10%	12%	30%	40%	10070	
How do you rate the usefulness of the			100	220/	50%	100%	
programme/degree in developing your personality?	7%	9%	12%	22%	5070	100/0	
How do you rate the sequence of courses included in				20%	51%	100%	
the syllabus?	8%	10%	11%	20%	51/0		
How do you rate the availability of reading material			4.504	23%	44%	100%	
regarding the curriculum?	8%	10%	15%	2570	4470		
How do you rate the usefulness of curriculum of the			1.00/	28%	39%	100%	
program in fulfilling your expectations?	6%	10%	18%	20/0	5570		
How do you rate the overall structure of the			1.70/	23%	47%	100%	
curriculum of the program you have passed?	8%	9%	13%	2370			

p. e erge gung ant with the

Prof. (Dr.) Sanket Vij Dean Academic Affairs BPSMV, Khanpur Kalan

> ic ic

IS No.		0					
S.No	Total	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average (2)	Poor(1)	Total
How do you rate the effectiveness of the present					0-(-/		
curriculum for employability of students?	35	14%	14%	23%	17%	31%	100%
How do you rate the effectiveness of curriculum for							
development of entrepreneurship?	36	17%	17%	22%	25%	19%	100%
How do you rate the contents of the present							
curriculum in relation to the latest trend in the							
subject?	36	14%	14%	22%	22%	28%	100%
How do you rate the depth in the contents of the							
curriculum?	36	22%	17%	8%	31%	- 22%	100%
How do you rate the effectiveness of current							
curriculum in developing skill oriented human							
resources?	36	19%	17%	22%	11%	31%	6 100%
How do you rate the effectiveness of current							
curriculum in developing research aptitude in the							
students?	36	17%	14%	17%	22%	5 319	6 1009

.

Feedback from Employers for Design and Review of the Curriculum 2022-23

Prof. (Dr.) Sanket Vij Dean Academic Affairs BPSMV, Khanpur Kalan

S.No	Total Excellent(5) Very Good(4) Good(3) Average (2) Poor(1) Total							
	Total	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Average (2)	Poor(1)	Total	
					1101080 (2)	1001(1)	TUCAI	
curriculum for employability of students?	35	14%	1.40/					
How do you rate the effectiveness of curriculum for		14%	14%	23%	17%	31%	100%	
development of entrepreneurship?	36	4.70/						
How do you rate the contents of the present	30	17%	17%	22%	25%	19%	100%	
curriculum in relation to the latest trend in the				~				
subject?	36	14%						
How do you rate the depth in the contents of the		14%	14%	22%	22%	28%	100%	
curriculum?	36	22%	470/					
How do you rate the effectiveness of current	50	2270	17%	8%	31%	22%	100%	
curriculum in developing skill oriented human								
resources?	36	19%	17%	22%	11%	31%	100%	
How do you rate the effectiveness of current			1770	2270	11%	31%	100%	
curriculum in developing research aptitude in the								
students?	36	17%	14%	17%	22%	31%	100%	

Feedback from Employers for Design and Review of the Curriculum 2022-23

John Sel

Prof. (Dr.) Sanket Vij Dean Academic Affairs BPSMV, Khanpur Kalan

/ij irs an

seminars/workshops/symposia/conferences Encouragement to participate in seminars/workshops/symposia/conferences Encouragement to organize Adequate learning resources are provided S.No Library timings are convenient Academic calendar is followed Student centered learning resources are available in the development Employability is considered in curriculum design and Representation from industry is helpful in designing and and relevance of the program offering Board of studies is taking care to ensure the concurrency The library is managed and utilized effectively The Faculty are updating their knowledge and skills effective development is available development is available development of curriculum is effective improving the courses The curriculum has been updated as and when required The University is able to attract meritorious students The admission process adopted by the University is Freedom to contribute my ideas on curriculum design and Freedom to contribute my ideas on curriculum design and The system followed by the University for the design and Jniversity Feedback from Teachers Excellent(5) Very Good(4) Good(3) Average (2) Poor(1) Total 36% 45% 27% 27% 36% 36% 36% 27% 45% 45% 27% 36% 27% 36% 36% 36% %6 36% 27% 27% 36% 36% 27% 27% 36% 27% 27% 27% 55% 55% 36% 18% 18% 18% 45% 27% 45% 45% 36% 27% 18% 18% 27% 18% 18% 27% 18% 9% %6 %6 %6 27% 27% 27% 18% %6 %6 %6 %6 %6 %6 0% %0 %6 %6 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% %0 0% %0 %6 80% 80% 0% 0% %0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%

Prof. (Dr.) Sanket Vij Dean Academic Affairs BPSMV, Khanpur Kalan

reput **Dean Academic Affairs** BPSMV, Khanpur Kalar

MODT	070	0/0T	0/0T	0/01	1.10	
1000/	202	100/	100/	1.20%	Az%	The faculty is given freedom to express their opinions
100%	0%	18%	%6	27%	45%	Organizations of repute
						mutual cooperation with Institution and Research
						The MoU entered by the university enhance the scope for
100%	0%	0%	%6	36%	55%	year are contributing for improvement
						The quality initiatives taken up during the last academic
100%	%0	%6	%6	36%	45%	The administration is accessible
100%	0%	%6	%6	36%	45%	development of the University
						Sincere efforts are being done by the administration for the
100%	%0	%0	0%	45%	55%	Adequate Sports infrastructure
100%	%0	0%	18%	45%	36%	Adequately water resources are provided
100%	%0	%6	9%	27%	55%	Roads are well maintained
100%	%6	%6	%6	18%	55%	Adequate parking facilities
100%	0%	0%	18%	45%	36%	department
						Optimal utilization of infrastructure available in the
100%	%0	0%	18%	36%	45%	The buildings and furniture are well maintained
100%	%0	%0	%6	27%	64%	Sufficient toilets available for faculty and students
100%	%0	0%	%6	27%	64%	Sufficient toilets available for faculty and students
100%	%0	18%	18%	45%	18%	I he labs are adequately equipped
100%	0%	0%	%6	36%	55%	Adequate class rooms and furniture available
100%	18%	0%	27%	27%	27%	I ne merit of the teachers is recognized
100%	0%	%6	27%	27%	36%	The reachers are encouraged to carry out research
100%	%6	%6	18%	27%	36%	
						Ine examination system followed by the University is
100%	0%	18%	18%	27%	36%	Services
						for the reactions are encouraged to take-up consultancy
100%	0%	18%	27%	27%	27%	The teachers are an and the teachers are the teachers and the teachers are
						Inductor are encouraged to establish linkage with
100%	0%	%6	27%	27%	36%	Service programs
						ine reachers are encouraged to undertake extension
						The teachers and the second seco

The IQAC is working well for promoting quality in the						100%	
institution	. 73%	9%	18%	0%	0%	100%	
The University is providing adequate opportunities and					0%	100%	
support to the Faculty	55%	9%	36%	0%	0/6	1007	

Prof. (Dr.) Sanket Vij Dean Academic Affairs BPSMV, Khanpur Kalan

Report on Student feedback

The students of Department of Social Work received feedback on the teaching learning mechanism during academic session 2022-23 in which total 18 students' responded. The response is as follows

With regards to course organization 56% students replied excellent 33%, said very good 6%, good 6° average.

On Academic course content 44% students replied excellent, 39% said very good 17%.

Depth of course covered 44% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 22%.

Learning values (in terms of skills, concept, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspective) 33% students replied excellent, 61% said very good and 6% poor.

Applicability/ relevance to real life situation/academic contents 61% students replied excellent. 28°_{\circ} said very good, 6% average.

Relevance/ learning value project/ Report 44% students replied excellent, 44% said very good, 6% replied good.

The entire syllabus is covered in time72 % students replied excellent, 17% said very good, 6% good.

The teachers discuss topic and interacts course contents in classtime 67% students replied excellent 17% said very good, 11% good, 6% replied as average.

Communication skills of the teachers 72% students replied excellent, 17% said very good, 6% replied good and another 6% replied average.

The teacher is punctual in the class 67% students replied excellent, 22% said very good, 6% good.

The teacher come well prepared for the class class 83% students replied excellent and 17% students replied good.

Use of modern teaching aids, hand-outs, suitable references, power point presentations, webresources etc class 56% students replied excellent, 17% said very good, 22% said good, and only 6% replied as poor.

Teacher's attitude towards the students is friendly and helpful 56% students replied excellent, 33% said very and 12% replied as good.

The Teacher is available and accessible in the department 56% students replied excellent, followed by 33% who said very good, and 12% replied average.

Evaluation process is fair and unbiased 50% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 17% good, 6% average and 0% poor

Regularly and timely feedback is given on the performance 61% students replied excellent, 33% said very good, 6% good.



Periodical assessments are conducted as per schedule 44% students replied excellent, 44% said very good, and 12 replied as average.

Student Teacher relationship 61% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 12% replied good, 6%average.

Library facilities 44% students replied excellent, 33% said very good, 17% good, 0% average and 6%

Computer facilities 33% students replied excellent, 17% said very good, 28% good, 11% average and 11% poor

Hostel facilities 28% students replied excellent, 39% said very good, 22% good, 6% average and 6% poor

Lab Facilities 28% students replied excellent, 33% said very good, 17% averageand 6% poor

Research Facilities 33% students replied excellent.33% said very good, 17% good. 6%averageand11% poor

Extra-Curricular Facilities 44% students replied excellent,28% said very good,17% good 6% average and 6% poor

Sports Facilities 44% students replied excellent, 22% said very good,17% good,6% average and11% poor

Health Care Facilities 33% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 22% good, 0%averageand17% poor

Recreational Facilities 28% students replied excellent, 33% said very good, 22% good, 6% average and 11% poor

Extension Activities 50% students replied excellent. 28% said very good, 6% replied good,11% average and 6% responded poor


Training and Placement opportunities 61% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 12 % replied average.

Audio Visual facilities 39% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 22% good, 6% average and 6% poor

Students' counselling/ Grievance Handling 28% students replied excellent, 28% said very good, 28% good, 6% average and 11% poor

Students' involvement in the decision making33% students replied excellent, 33% said very good,11% good,17% average and 6% poor

The report will be discussed in the staff council meeting and will be considered for improving teaching learning experiences in the upcoming academic sessions.



Feedback Analysis from Teachers Session 2022-23

Feedback from teachers has been taken about the curriculum for the session 2022-23. The

- 78.9% of teachers have rated the system followed by the university in designing and developing the curriculum as excellentand 21.1% have said that it was very
- 78.9% of teachers have said that over all structure of the existing curriculum is excellent and 21.1% have said that it is very good.
- 78.9% of teachers have rated the depth of the contents in existing curriculum is . excellent, 15.8% have said that it is very good and 5.3% have rated it as good.
- 68.4% of teachers have rated the offerings of electives in relation to the latest trend in the subject as excellent and 31.6% have said that it is very good.
- · 68.4% of teachers have rated the effectiveness of the existing curriculum in developing research aptitude in studentsas excellent and 31.6% have said that it is very good.
- 63.2% of teachers have rated the balance between theory and practical applications in the existing curriculumas excellent and 36.8% have said that it is very good.

1

Suge &

Feedback Analysis from Students Session 2022-23

Feedback from students has been taken about the curriculum. The analysis of feedback is

- 35.9 % students rated the relevance of the courses as excellent in relation to their programme. 34% students have rated it very good and 26.2% has rated it good. Only a few 3.9% students have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 24.3% have said that there is excellent sequence of topics in the units of courses. 39.8% have said it very good, 31.1 rated it as good. Only a few 4.8% students have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 23.3 % students rated the offerings of electives in relation to the latest trend in the subject as excellent.34% students have rated it very good and 35.9% has rated it good. Only 6.8% students have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 39.1 rated the usefulness of the programme/degree in developing the personality as excellent. 23.3% students have rated it very good and 37.9% has rated it good. 7.8% students have said it was average, only 1.9% has rated it poor.
- 32% rated the sequence of courses included in the syllabus as excellent. 35% . students have rated it very good and 28.2% has rated it good. Only 4.9% students have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.

- 28.2% rated the availability of reading material regarding the curriculum as excellent. 31.1% students have rated it very good and 29.1% has rated it good. Only 11.7% students have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 26.2% students have said that curriculum of the programme/degree is excellent in fulfilling their expectations. 35% students have rated it very good and 31.1% has rated it good. Only 6.8% students have said it was average, but no one has rated it
- 31.1% students have rated the usefulness of the curriculum in terms of employability. 32% students have rated it very good and 26.2% has rated it good. 9.7% students have said it was average, only 1% has rated it poor. Anju bas Sustia

Feedback Analysis from Alumni Session 2022-23

Feedback from Alumni has been taken about the curriculum for the session 2022-23. The analysis of feedback is given below:

- 30 % alumni rated the relevance of the courses as excellent in relation to their programme. 55% alumni have rated it very good and 15% has rated it good.
- 25% alumni have said that there is excellent sequence of topics in the units of courses.
 40% have said it very good, 30rated it as good. Only a few 5% students have said it was poor.
- 25 % alumni rated the offerings of electives in relation to the latest trend in the subject as excellent. 40% alumnihave rated it very good and 25% has rated it good. Only 5% students have said it was average, 5% rated it poor.
- 30 rated the usefulness of the programme/degree in developing the personality as excellent. 40% alumnihave rated it very good and 20% has rated it good. 5% alumni have said it was average, only 5% has rated it poor.
- 25% rated the sequence of courses included in the syllabus as excellent. 45% alumnihave rated it very good and 25% has rated it good. Only 5% alumni have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 15% rated the availability of reading material regarding the curriculum as excellent.
 55% alumni have rated it very good and 20% has rated it good. Only 10% alumni have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 20% alumni have said that curriculum of the programme/degree is excellent in fulfilling their expectations. 35% alumni have rated it very good and 40% has rated it good. Only 5% alumni have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.
- 25% alumni have rated the usefulness of the curriculum in terms of employability.
 40% alumnihave rated it very good and 30% has rated it good. Only 5% alumni have said it was average, but no one has rated it poor.

Susse Ary salv